UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 15-1892

STEVEN N. FULTON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ISAAC HANNAH COLEMAN; NEW ENGLAND MOTOR FREIGHT; ABC CORPORATION; JOHN DOES 1-3,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at Greenville. James C. Dever, III, Chief District Judge. (4:14-cv-00007-D)

Submitted: December 11, 2015   Decided: January 5, 2016

Before WILKINSON, MOTZ, and FLOYD, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Steven N. Fulton, Appellant Pro Se. Paul Douglas Coates, Adam Lee White, PINTO, COATES, KYRE & BOWERS, PLLC, Greensboro, North Carolina, for Appellees.

PER CURIAM:

Steven N. Fulton appeals the district court's May 28, 2015, order denying his fourth postjudgment motion filed in his civil case.* On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the appellant's brief. See 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Fulton's informal brief does not challenge the basis for the district court's disposition, Fulton has forfeited appellate review of the court's order. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

<div align="right">AFFIRMED</div>

---

* "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." Bowles v. Russell, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007). Fulton's notice of appeal does not identify the order from which he is appealing; however, the May 28 order is the only order to which Fulton's notice of appeal is timely. See Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1), (4)(A). We have jurisdiction to consider this appeal despite Fulton's failure to follow the technical requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 3(c). See Jackson v. Lightsey, 775 F.3d 170, 175 (4th Cir. 2014); Hartsell v. Duplex Prods., Inc., 123 F.3d 766, 771 (4th Cir. 1997).